Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: CROCKETT H S Campus ID: 227901008 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent At				t Campus A			White		Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
End of Course																	
English I	2016 2015		61% 64%	54% 55%	47% 37%	52% 53%	66% 69%	*	*	-	* 86%	21% 27%	49% 50%	31% 31%	64% 62%	46% 49%	- *
English II	2016 2015		67% 69%	61% 64%	35% 61%	59% 61%	80% 82%	-	*	- *	79% *	28% 30%	53% 62%	30% 35%	67% 70%	55% 59%	* -
Algebra I	2016 2015		79% 78%	59% 68%	48% 48%	59% 68%	66% 73%	- *	*	-	83% 86%	27% 41%	59% 64%	57% 57%	62% 71%	57% 64%	- *
Biology	2016 2015		89% 90%	82% 87%	75% 81%	82% 86%	86% 93%	*	*	-	* 100%	53% 69%	82% 85%	71% 75%	87% 88%	77% 87%	- *
U.S. History	2016 2015		92% 90%	86% 85%	79% 89%	86% 84%	87% 91%	-	*	- *	83% 100%	43% 49%	82% 83%	62% 49%	85% 83%	87% 87%	-
All Crades																	
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		74% 73%	67% 70%	55% 59%	66% 68%	77% 81%	*	67% 88%	- *	76% 86%	32% 39%	63% 67%	46% 46%	72% 74%	62% 67%	* 100%
Reading	2016 2015		72% 73%	57% 59%	42% 46%	55% 57%	73% 74%	*	50% 78%	- *	75% 75%	24% 28%	51% 55%	31% 33%	65% 65%	50% 54%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		75% 72%	59% 68%	48% 48%	59% 68%	66% 73%	- *	*	-	83% 86%	27% 41%	59% 64%	57% 57%	62% 71%	57% 64%	- *
Science	2016 2015		79% 76%	82% 87%	75% 81%	82% 86%	86% 93%	*	*	-	* 100%	53% 69%	82% 85%	71% 75%	87% 88%	77% 87%	- *
Social Studies	2016 2015		76% 75%	86% 85%	79% 89%	86% 84%	87% 91%	-	*	- *	83% 100%	43% 49%	82% 83%	62% 49%	85% 83%	87% 87%	-
STAAR Percent at	Final	Level I	l or Ab	ove													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		46% 42%	33% 33%	19% 23%	31% 29%	51% 53%	*	33% 71%	- *	53% 59%	10% 15%	28% 28%	9% 8%	38% 35%	29% 31%	* 67%
Reading	2016 2015		46% 44%	31% 32%	16% 22%	28% 29%	51% 53%	*	33% 67%	- *	55% 55%	10% 13%	26% 27%	7% 7%	40% 39%	23% 27%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		44% 39%	14% 15%	3% 6%	12% 13%	23% 27%	- *	*	-	50% 43%	4% 11%	13% 13%	7% 6%	20% 16%	9% 14%	- *
Science	2016 2015		50% 45%	41% 39%	22% 15%	39% 33%	59% 65%	*	*	-	* 73%	9% 21%	36% 32%	12% 11%	43% 42%	38% 36%	- *
Social Studies	2016 2015		50% 45%	50% 46%	42% 52%	48% 42%	69% 69%	-	*	- *	50% 67%	23% 18%	47% 42%	19% 13%		59% 55%	-
STAAR Percent at	Level	III Adv	anced														
All Crodes																	
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		21% 19%	6% 6%	2% 3%	5% 5%	14% 10%	*	10% 24%	- *	8% 16%	1% 5%	4% 5%	1% 1%	6% 5%	6% 7%	*
Reading	2016 2015		21% 21%	2% 2%	0% 1%	1% 2%	8% 3%	*	17% 11%	- *	5% 10%	1% 2%	1% 2%	1% 1%	4% 4%	1% 1%	*

Mathematics	2016 2015	17%	Distric 21% 17%	et Camp 4% 4%	Afri us Ame 0º	rican %	Hispani 3% 4%	c White 11% 8%	America Indian		Pacific Islander - -		Specia		/ ELL 0% 0%	Female 7% 5%	Male 2% 3%	Migrant - *
Science	2016 2015		20% 19%	7% 8%	3° 4°		5% 7%	18% 11%	*	*	-	* 36%	0% 13%	5% 6%	2% 0%	8% 9%	7% 8%	- *
Social Studies	2016 2015		27% 23%	16% 15%	-		15% 13%	27% 30%	-	*	- *	17% 17%	2% 6%	12% 13%	4% 5%	11% 6%	22% 22%	-
STAAR Participati	on (All	l Grade	es)															
All Tests		2016 2015				98° 100				100% 85%	- 100%	97% 100%	97% 95%	99% 98%	99% 93%	98% 99%	98% 98%	* 100%
Reading		2016 2015				100 100				100% 5 75%	- 100%	100% 100%	96% 92%	99% 96%	100% 88%	98% 98%	99% 96%	* 100%
Mathematics		2016 2015				91° 100				* 5 100%	-	86% 100%	95% 100%	98% 100%	97% 98%	98% 99%	96% 100%	- 100%
Science		2016 2015				100 100				* 5 100%	-	100% 100%	99% 96%	98% 99%	98% 98%	98% 99%	97% 99%	- 100%
Social Studies		2016 2015				96° 100				* 100%	- 100%	100% 100%			100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%	-
STAAR Participati	on Res	sults b	y Ass	essmen	t Type f	or St	udents (Served	in Speci	al Educa	ition Sett	tings (A	III Grade	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC	· \A/ith N		2016	98%	96% 9	3%	100%	93%	89%	- *	-	100%	93%	94%	90%	93%	94%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	13%	6%	2%	0%	2%	3%	- *	-	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-
Accommodations	VVILLI		2016	73%	80% 8	37%	96%	88%	81%	_ *	_	83%	87%	90%	83%	86%	87%	_
% STAAR Alter	nate2		2016	11%		5%	4%	3%	6%	_ *	_	17%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%	_
% of Non-Particip			2016	2%		7%	0%	7%	11%	- *	-	0%	7%	6%	10%		6%	-
Mathematics Tests																		
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC	: With N		2016	99%	98%	94%	80%	97%	95%	- *	-	*	94%	96%	95%	94%	93%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	12%	6%	5%	0%	6%	5%	- *	-	*	5%	1%	0%	6%	5%	-
Accommodations			2016			34%	80%	89%	79%	- *	-	*	84%	91%	90%		85%	-
% STAAR Alter	nate2	- 2	2016	12%	11%	4%	0%	2%	11%	- *	-	^	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

% of Non-Participants

2016

20%

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

5%

								Two or			ELL				Percent of Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Specia	I (Current &	ELL To	otal	Total	Measures
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+ N	/let	Eligible	Met
Performance Status - State															
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	N	N	N	Υ					N	N	N		1	7	14
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		5	7	71
Writing													0	0	
Science	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		6	7	86
Social Studies	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		5	6	83

7%

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[&]quot;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

	All Student	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific		Econ	•	ELL I (Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
Total			•									•	17	27	63
Performance Status - Federal	I														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	7	7	100
Mathematics	Υ	N	Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	7	86
Total													13	14	93
Federal Graduation Status (T		e Reason Co													
Graduation Target Met	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Reason Code *** Total	а		а	С					а	а		а	6	6	100
District: Met Federal Limits o	n Alterna	tive Assessı	ments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total Overall Total													36	47	77

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) *** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African American	Uianania	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL
Performance Rates	Students	American	пізрапіс	wille	iliulali	ASIAII	isianuer	Races	Disauv	Eu	wormoreu)	(Current)
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	599	32	442	103	*	**	_	15	366	51	73	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,023	74	776	139	*	**	_	20	698	195	204	185
% at Level II Satisfactory	59%	43%	57%	74%	*	55%	_	75%	52%	26%	36%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	204	13	153	32	-	*	_	**	149	24	44	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	334	27	249	50	-	*	_	**	241	83	71	63
% at Level II Satisfactory	61%	48%	61%	64%	-	*	_	83%	62%	29%	62%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	319	23	235	54	*	*	-	*	224	36	51	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	383	30	281	63	*	*	-	*	265	66	63	53
% at Level II Satisfactory	83%	77%	84%	86%	*	*	-	*	85%	55%	81%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	311	18	242	43	-	*	-	**	180	20	30	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	361	23	280	49	-	*	-	**	218	46	46	44
% at Level II Satisfactory	86%	78%	86%	88%	-	*	-	83%	83%	43%	65%	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme		00	007	444	*	**		00	740	040	- 1-	0.47
Number Participating	1,089	80	827	144	*	**	-	20	748	210	n/a	217
Total Students	1,103	80	837	148	*	**	-	20	755	218	n/a	217

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Participation Rate	99%	100%	99%	97%	*	100%	-	100%	99%	96%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asset	essments											
Number Participating	358	29	267	53	-	*	-	**	260	89	n/a	70
Total Students	368	32	271	55	-	*	-	**	266	94	n/a	72
Participation Rate	97%	91%	99%	96%	-	*	-	86%	98%	95%	n/a	97%

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or	_			
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 201	5								
Number Graduated	281	21	208	43	-	4	*	*	185	39	35	n/a
Total in Class	303	21	222	49	-	5	*	*	199	43	36	31
Graduation Rate	92.7%	100.0%	93.7%	87.8%	-	80.0%	*	*	93.0%	90.7%	97.2%	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): C	class of 201	4								
Number Graduated	290	21	205	46	*	9	-	**	198	26	29	n/a
Total in Class	334	23	239	53	*	9	-	**	228	40	43	21
Graduation Rate	86.8%	91.3%	85.8%	86.8%	*	100.0%	-	88.9%	86.8%	65.0%	67.4%	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	292	21	207	46	*	9	-	**	199	27	30	n/a
Total in Class	328	23	234	53	*	9	-	**	226	36	40	19
Graduation Rate	89.0%	91.3%	88.5%	86.8%	*	100.0%	-	100.0%	88.1%	75.0%	75.0%	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	4.6	4.4%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	68.7	66.5%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	30.1	29.1%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		68	10	78
Total Number of Classes		529	70	599
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	523	70	593
	Percent	98.87%	100.00%	99.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	6	0	6
	Percent	1.13%	0.00%	1.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	Campus	District	State
2013-14	45.5%	56.2%	57.5%
2012-13	47.8%	53.6%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			<u></u> %	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	· ·	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment