# Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: ANDREWS EL Campus ID: 227901102 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

# Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

STAAR Percent A			ict Campus I Satisfacto			c White		Asian	Pacific Islander		Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male N	ligrant
Grade 3																
Reading	2016 72 2015 74			50% 46%	64% 60%	*	-	*	-	-	*	63% 56%	64% 61%	70% 56%	52% 56%	-
Mathematics	2016 74 2015 74			50% 62%	74% 60%	* 71%	-	*	-	-	*	71% 59%	73% 61%	71% 60%	70% 58%	-
Grade 4																
Reading	2016 74 2015 71			85% 60%	58% 55%	*	-	*	* -	-	*	61% 54%	57% 51%	56% 66%	63% 44%	-
Mathematics	2016 72 2015 71			54% 60%	56% 62%	*	-	*	* -	-	*	55% 59%	57% 56%	49% 66%	58% 53%	-
Writing	2016 68 2015 67			69% 47%	63% 51%	*	-	*	* -	-	*	63% 48%	64% 50%	68% 69%	57% 30%	-
Grade 5																
Reading	2016 80 2015 83			57% 91%	62% 69%	*	-	-	-	-	*	58% 72%	61% 68%	70% 77%	48% 67%	-
Mathematics	2016 85 2015 75			79% 73%	76% 65%	*	-	-	-	-	56% *	76% 62%	77% 62%	83% 73%	70% 51%	-
Science	2016 73 2015 69			46% 45%	61% 55%	*	-	-	-	-	*	54% 51%	57% 51%	59% 53%	50% 49%	-
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74 2015 73			62% 60%	63% 60%	63% 41%	-	*	* -	-	23% 13%	62% 58%	63% 58%	65% 64%	59% 52%	-
Reading	2016 72 2015 74			64% 64%	61% 61%	67% 50%	-	* *	* -	-	*	61% 60%	60% 60%	65% 64%	57% 56%	-
Mathematics	2016 75 2015 73			62% 64%	66% 62%	78% 40%	-	*	* -	-	38% 15%	65% 60%	67% 60%	65% 65%	64% 55%	-
Writing	2016 68 2015 68			69% 47%	63% 51%	*	-	*	* -	-	*	63% 48%	64% 50%	68% 69%	57% 30%	-
Science	2016 77	7% 79%	% <b>54%</b>	46% 45%	61% 55%	*	-	-	-	-	*	54% 51%	57% 51%	59% 53%	50% 49%	-
STAAD Dereent of					0070							01,0	0170	0070	10,0	
STAAR Percent at			ADOVE													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 42 2015 38			22% 14%	37% 18%	29% 5%	-	*	* -	-	3% 1%	34% 16%	36% 16%	39% 19%	28% 15%	-
Reading	2016 42 2015 40	2% 46%	% <b>37%</b>	28% 15%	39% 23%	44% 7%	-	*	* -	-	*	37% 20%	38% 21%	48% 23%	27% 18%	-
Mathematics	2016 40 2015 36	)% 44%	% <b>29%</b>	18% 15%	32% 17%	33% 7%	-	* *	*	-	0% 3%	30% 16%	31% 15%	32% 18%	27% 14%	-
Writing	2016 39	9% 43%	% <b>40%</b>	31%	46%	/ 70 *	-	*	-	-	*	42%	50%	47%	36%	-
Science	2015 31 2016 44			7% 8%	9% 24%	*	-	-	-	-	*	8% 19%	9% 20%	10% 14%	6% 23%	-

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?\_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&\_debug=0&single=N&title=2015-16+Federal+Report+Card&\_p... 1/7

2/20/2018								2015-1	6 Federal	Report	Card							
2		State 40%			pus An	<b>frican</b> nerican 18%	Hispan 16%	ic White	Americar Indian -				Special		<b>ELL</b> 11%	Female 17%	<b>Male</b> 14%	Migrant -
STAAR Percent at Lo	evel	III Ad	lvance	d														
		17% 14%		12° 6%		5% 6%	14% 6%	4% 3%	-	*	* -	-	2% 0%	12% 6%	14% 6%	14% 6%	10% 6%	- -
5		16% 15%		14 <sup>0</sup> 10 <sup>0</sup>		8% 10%	16% 11%	0% 0%	-	*	* -	-	*	14% 10%	17% 11%	18% 12%	10% 8%	- -
		17% 14%	21% 17%	10° 5%		3% 5%	12% 4%	11% 7%	-	*	* -	-	0% 0%	10% 4%	12% 5%	8% 4%	11% 5%	- -
	2016 2015	14% 8%	17% 10%	14° 0%		0% 0%	18% 0%	*	-	* *	* -	-	*	15% 0%	19% 0%	21% 0%	10% 0%	-
		15% 14%	20% 19%	4% 1%		8% 0%	3% 2%	*	-	-	-	-	*	4% 2%	0% 0%	5% 0%	4% 3%	- -
STAAR Participation	וAll (	Grad	des)															
All Tests			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			00% * 00% -	-	100% 100%	99% 100%	99% 100%		99% 99%	
Reading			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 100%			100% 100%	- - 1	* * 00% -	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%			
Mathematics			2016 2015	100% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%			100% 100%	- - 1	* * 00% -	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%			
Writing			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	96% 100%	100% 100%		* 100%	- - 1	* * 00% -	-	100% 100%	96% 100%	96% 100%		98% 100	
Science			2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	98% 100%	93% 100%	100% 100%		-		-	100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%			
STAAR Participation	n Res	sults	by Ass	essme	nt Typ	e for St	udents	Served i	in Special	Educa	tion Sett	ings (A	II Grade	es)				
Reading Tests																		
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC W	Vith N	lo	2016	98%	96%	100%	100%	100%	* -	-	-	-				5 100%	100%	) -
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W	Vith		2016	13%	6%	0%	0%	0%	* -	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
Accommodations			2016	73%	80%	100%	100%	100%	* -	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%		100%	- 0
% STAAR Alterna % of Non-Participar			2016 2016	11% 2%	10% 4%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	* - * -	-	-	-	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC W	Vith N	10	2016	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	* _	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	5 100%	100%	o -
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W		-	2016	12%	6%	0%	0%	0%	* -	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
Accommodations			2016	75%	81%	100%		100%	* -	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%		100%	- 0
% STAAR Alterna			2016	12%	11%	0%	0%	0%	^ - *	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-

'\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

1%

'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

% of Non-Participants

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

2016

?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

0%

# Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

2%

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

0%

0%

-

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

Performance Status - State	All Students	African sAmerican	ıHispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ		ELL I (Current & Monitored				Percent of Eligible Measures Met
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	0070	0070	0070	0070	0070	Ŷ	0070	Ŷ		5	5	100
Mathematics	Ý	Ý	Ý						Ý		Ŷ		5	5	100
Writing	Ý		Ý						Ý		Ý		4	4	100
Science	Ň		Ý						Ň		Ý		2	4	50
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													16	18	89
Performance Status - Federal															
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Ν	N	Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ν	Ν	Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		Ν	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y	Y	Y						Y			Y	5	5	100
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y						Y			Y	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation Status (Ta Graduation Target Met	arget: See	e Reason Co	odes)										0	0	
Reason Code *** <b>Total</b>													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits of Reading	n Alternat	tive Assessi	ments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													26	28	93

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) \*\*\* Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards. c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading	400		407	_					404	*	~~	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	133	21	107	5	-	-	-	-	131	<u>^</u>	96	n/a
Standard	~~-									*		
Total Tests	205	32	167	6	-	-	-	-	200	*	145	145
% at Level II Satisfactory	65%	66%	64%	83%	-	-	-	-	66%	*	66%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics	140	22	447	<u> </u>					444	0	400	
# at Level II Satisfactory	146	23	117	6	-	-	-	-	144	8	106	n/a
Standard	000	00	100	0					004	10	4.40	4.40
Total Tests	206	32	168	6	-	-	-	-	201	19	146	146
% at Level II Satisfactory	71%	72%	70%	100%	-	-	-	-	72%	42%	73%	n/a
Standard												
Writing	<b>F7</b>	**	10	*					50	*	40	
# at Level II Satisfactory	57		49		-	-	-	-	56		43	n/a
Standard		**	74	*					0.4	*	00	00
Total Tests	86		74	*	-	-	-	-	84	*	62	62
% at Level II Satisfactory	66%	70%	66%	•	-	-	-	-	67%	Ŷ	69%	n/a
Standard												
Science	26	**	20	*					26	*	17	n/a
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	26		20		-	-	-	-	20		17	n/a
	45	**	20	*					45	*	00	00
Total Tests	45		32	*	-	-	-	-	45	*	28	28
% at Level II Satisfactory	58%	50%	63%	~	-	-	-	-	58%	<u>^</u>	61%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												2/2
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?\_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&\_debug=0&single=N&title=2015-16+Federal+Report+Card&\_p... 3/7

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	(
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Participation Rates Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	236	40	180	12	-	*	*	-	230	21	n/a	167
Total Students	236	40	180	12	-	*	*	-	230	21	n/a	167
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses	ssments											
Number Participating	236	40	180	12	-	*	*	-	230	21	n/a	167
Total Students	236	40	180	12	-	*	*	-	230	21	n/a	167
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

\*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	ation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	ation Rate (	Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	(Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014	Ļ									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

#### **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments**

Reading	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Mathematics	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

\*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

#### Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

 Priority School Identification:
 Priority School Reason: N/A

 No
 Focus School Reason: N/A

 Focus School Identification:
 No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps

based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

#### High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

#### Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

#### Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	27.2	65.8%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	13.1	31.8%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	2.4%	0.7%	0.6%

# Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### High Poverty

# **Core Academic Subject Areas**

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		35	4	39
Total Number of Classes		35	4	39
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	35	4	39
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of T	Number of Teachers					
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)					
Emergency (for certified personnel)	2	0					
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0					
Non-renewable	0	0					
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0					
District Teaching	0	0					
Temporary	0	0					

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers						
	General Education	Special Education					
Highly Qualified	0	0					
Not Highly Qualified	0	0					

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

#### Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

#### State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

### State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
	-	Limited English Proficient	92

2/20/2018		2015-16 Federal Report Card		
	Grade	Subject Mathematics	<b>Student Group</b> Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	<b>%</b> 80 95
	Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
		Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment