

School Renaming Task Force Meeting Minutes

April 24, 2018

Attendees: Raul Alvarez, Larry Amaro, Vanessa Dainton, Gabriel Estrada, Roxanne Evans, John Hewlett, Sarah Johnson, Carment Kiara, Renee Lafair, Maggy McGiffert, Nancy Mims, Kazique Prince, Erica Saenz, Barbara Spears-Corbett, Jason Summerville, Ángela-Jo Touza-Medina, Angela Ward, Leo York Call to Order at 5:31pm

Location Alternative Learning Center 901 Neal Street Austin, TX 78702-2932

Purposes

To hear public comment To finalize recommended naming criteria for committees to use To make recommendations for artifact preservation

Agenda

- 5:00 Informal Dinner
- 5:30 Public Comment
- 6:00 Welcome and Meeting Overview
 - Agenda, Expectations, Agreements
 Finalize Naming Criteria
 Make Recommendations for Artifact Preservation
 Discuss Future Considerations
 Close
 - Next Steps, Evaluation
- 8:30 Adjourn

Minutes

Informal dinner started at 5pm and the meeting started at 5:31pm

Public Comment

Public comment was heard from the following speakers:

- 1. Angela Pires
- 2. Yolanda Torres
- 3. Vincent Tovar
- 4. Sandra Marks
- 5. Ashlynn d'Harcourt



- 6. Stacy Smith
- 7. Abel Ruiz
- 8. Stew Ford
- 9. Paula McDermott

With no one else signed up to speak, public comment finished at 5:58pm

Meeting Overview

Lynda Baker created a gallery of former meeting notes in order to review together AISD values, meeting agreements, foundational task force values and task force expectations. Anticipations:

Anticipations:

- Figure out what part of criteria to be met
- Make sure we listen to community
 - o Representative of Alan community
 - Advocate for education
- Define artifact charge
- Honor how to include new name
- Time well used...leave or stay
- Affirm consensus and add place to criteria

Finalizing Criteria

The task force revisited the question: what qualities, values or reputation might a person or place have that would represent our district and task force values?

Task force work-table teams reviewed each criteria category answering the above question and thought of examples of each title criteria as a way to check and refine criteria. Some of the categories were clarified and rearranged.

Refined Categories are as following:

Historically connected:

As explained by:

- Has a positive history/relationship with school community
- Impacted students/families that they encountered
- Community holds them in high regard
- Served/meaningful engaged particular school community
- An individual that made everyone feel included
- Values public education
- Fought for community/school
- Historical reference "it has a story"

Examples of criteria are-with important words underlined:

- Historically impactful at the school, <u>local, state and national level</u>
- <u>Demonstrated</u> hope and belief in members of the community that led them to take positive steps in their lives



- Place or individual has deep roots to that school or that community
- Their impact can be verified or documented

Servant Leader:

As explained by:

- Compassionate advocate for the underprivileged
- Contributions to diverse communities
- Empowered and empowering
- A compassionate humanitarian
- Someone that recognized and helped others
- Resilience, staying focused in the face of adversity
- Valued all citizens in Austin

Examples of criteria are- with important words underlined:

- <u>Demonstrates</u> service to a <u>greater cause</u>, <u>others</u>
- <u>Served</u> the diverse <u>school community</u> by putting the good of the community above their own individual interests
- They are recognized for <u>serving diverse community interests</u>
- Someone who devotes their time and resources without expectations and towards a mission. A place that fosters leaders.

Respected for Integrity and strong principles:

As explained by:

- Embodies innovative excellence
- Name would stand the test of time
- Courageous, honest, genuine, truthful
- Leader- accountable of actions and words
- Has an unquestionable reputation
- Fortitude-strength of character

Examples of criteria are - with important words underlined:

- Verifiable integrity at a high level
- Did what was right even when it was hard and went <u>against the status quo</u>
- Respected across multiple communities

Embodies Equity and Social Justice:

As explained by:

- Member of a community that has been traditionally marginalized
- Someone/group who challenged injustice and or removed systemic barriers
- Commitment to equity and inclusiveness
- Person in renaming community who has advocated for anti-racism changes
- Racial background reflects school district population
- Recognition of the past that reconciles history

Examples of criteria are- with important words underlined:

• <u>Advocated and worked</u> toward creating space where everyone could feel safe, welcome and included



- <u>Advocate</u> for social justice
- Someone who has fought against social injustice and racism
- Has a record of supporting and engaging in social justice initiatives

Commitment to Education:

As explained by:

- Intellectually curious and wise
- Commitment to serving others
- Lifelong learning, loves learning, growth mindset
- Collaboration-ability to work across many interests
- Careerinspire(d)s others
- Values public education

Examples of criteria are - with important words underlined:

- Committed to educational opportunity and excellence
- <u>Valued public education for all people</u> regardless of race, gender, sexual orientation, ability, religion, gender identity, expression and language.
- Demonstrated commitment to <u>equity and inclusion</u> towards education
- Have supported the formal and informal education of others volunteer taught, donated, advocated, etc.

Inspirational and Visionary

As explained by:

- Brilliant-positive, intelligent, emit positivity and motivation
- Steadfast- consistency of purpose and commitment
- Trailblazing-pioneer in opening doors and creating opportunities
- Visionary-inspiring in thought and action

Examples of criteria are - with important words underlined:

- Redefined the status quo for the better
- Trailblazing and inspiring in purpose and commitment
- Stood in their purpose acted on their purpose, and their words and actions were aligned
- Visionary-creative-forward/futurethinking imaginative, exhibits, wisdom

Criteria for Names based on Places

- A place that is/has reputation for being inclusive
- Historically erased place that has enduring sacred meaning to people of color
- Curriculum or topic of focus for that particular school
- Represents unique natural quality of school area

Suggestions for preserving artifacts:

What is an artifact: A brief discussion on defining artifacts ensued and a list of possibilities suggested included examples such as a plaque, trophy, flag, figure, portrait, bust, head, monument, sign or other object.



The members recommended asking Library Media Committee and the Race Equity Council for additional help in the best ways and procedures related to preserving artifacts.

A list of various suggestions for artifacts was created:

- Create an area for special artifacts
- Donate them to a museum
- Auction to alumnae {mention of care to not auction symbols of hatred}
- One display at school
- Put in school library
- Take an inventory of artifacts
- Retire artifacts
- Assure historical evidence
- Integrate into learning opportunity
- Have an AISD museum at central office
- Create a learning kit to go with AISD museum
- Explore digitizing artifacts
- No representation of the former namesake in image or text that does not relate to the school
- Donate to Austin History Museum
- If trophy of students, leave where is
- Auction to alumni/fundraising
- Learning kit for artifacts
- Identify criteria unique to specific artifacts (example Johnston Alumni/Veterans Memorial, non-Confederate)
- Take an inventory of all artifacts duplicates and purge
- Remove all artifacts bearing old name
- Create a district policy to address display of symbols of hatred
- Summer intern could help
- Include historical context for artifact placement
- Preserve school's history/recognize the person's history

Closing Statements and Questions

Questions from Task Force

Task Force members created questions at each table and categorized them as a whole group. Questions will be turned into recommendations for the final report.

Questions pertaining to Campus Advisory Council guidance:

- How will CACS assure that those without political power have a voice in the process?
- How will community voices be included in the name determination process?
- How will you ensure community engagement with CACs?
- What support will be given to CACS to ensure open and inclusive process?
- What can be done to assure CACs apply the criteria uniformly?



- Does the charge to CACs explain why they need to engage in the renaming process? (undoing racial harm)
- How will you ensure there is adequate time to make an informal decision about the name?

Questions pertaining to History and Process, Educational aspect:

- What will the district do to educate schools about name change, including the process?
- What advisory lessons will be developed to teach and engage in the process? (before, during, after)
- How will students be involved without wasting their free time?
- How will district support campuses in repairing the harm done by the conflict around name changes?
- How will a curriculum undoing the racial harm be done in a safe, welcome, and inclusive environment?

Questions pertaining to use of Artifacts and Namesakes:

- Is it just the name or is it also the mascot, motto, school song, gym floor, etc.?
- What are the district policies for donated items and relics?

Final Evaluation of Meeting:

Task force members filled in their final evaluation thoughts and placed them on the board

What we did:

- Hype....{about what we did}
- Yeah....{reaction to what we did}
- Collaborate!
- Finish
- Collaborate
- Collaborate
- Create a road map
- Team work
- Developed criteria

I a m glad:

- We got it done
- We came to conclusion
- We met our charge(s)
- Yes !!
- Was facilitated in a professional way!
- To have met and worked with the people here

I wish:

- All meetings were as participatory as the 3rd one
- I could do it all over
- We had more time to discuss
- More meetings were run this way.

Meeting Adjourned 8:38pm